

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1	EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY	1
2	EXISTENCE OF A STOP/SEIZURE/SEARCH.....	5
3	LAWFUL JUSTIFICATIONS FOR A STOP	18
4	UNLAWFUL JUSTIFICATIONS FOR A STOP	62

EXTRATERRITORIAL STOPS

5	IN STATE	65
6	OUT OF STATE.....	79

THE STOP, APPROACH, THRESHOLD INQUIRY, AND EXIT ORDERS

7	TERRY STOP VERSUS ARREST	93
8	APPROACH AND CONTACT; FURTIVE MOVEMENTS.....	100
9	EXIT ORDERS	108
10	THRESHOLD INQUIRY	116

SEIZURE OF MOTOR VEHICLE

11	IMPOUNDMENT	121
12	CRIME CONNECTION	132
13	FORFEITURE	133

WARRANTLESS SEARCH AUTHORIZATION

14	THE AUTOMOBILE EXCEPTION	139
15	CONSENT	145

16	INTERIOR PROTECTIVE SEARCH	150
17	SEARCH INCIDENT TO ARREST	153
18	INVENTORY SEARCH.....	167
19	PLAIN-VIEW DOCTRINE	176

OUI DETECTION

20	ROADBLOCKS.....	183
21	FIELD SOBRIETY TESTING	191

***STANDING TO CHALLENGE SEARCH
OF THIRD-PARTY VEHICLE***

22	AUTOMATIC	195
23	TARGET.....	197
24	DERIVATIVE.....	201
25	EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY	201

PASSENGER ISSUES

26	THRESHOLD INQUIRIES.....	203
27	EXIT ORDERS	203
28	SEARCHES AND PATFRISKS.....	204

STANDARD OF APPELLATE REVIEW

29	FACTS FOUND BY JUDGE ACCEPTED ABSENT CLEAR ERROR.....	207
30	COURT INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWS APPLICATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES	207
31	COURT MAY ADOPT DIFFERENT THEORY	208
32	COURT MAY CONSIDER ISSUES NOT RAISED BELOW	208

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

1	EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY	1
1.1	Automobile Parked in Driveway	1
1.1.1	Generally	1
1.1.2	Police Officer’s Technical Trespass onto Driveway; Effect.....	2
1.2	Interior of Motor Vehicle	3
1.2.1	Areas Where an Expectation of Privacy Exists.....	3
1.2.2	Covered Items in Area Not Otherwise Subject to Privacy Expectation	4
2	EXISTENCE OF A STOP/SEIZURE/SEARCH.....	5
2.1	Following a Motor Vehicle	5
2.1.1	Merely Following.....	5
2.1.2	Pursuit; Criteria Implicating Constitutional Rights.....	5
2.2	Roadblock.....	7
2.3	Random License Plate Check; Random Stop to Check Documents.....	7
2.4	Activation of Lights.....	8
2.4.1	Context.....	8
2.4.1.1	Non–Community Caretaking.....	8
2.4.1.2	Community Caretaking	8
2.4.2	Civil Infraction.....	9
2.4.3	Threshold Inquiry.....	9
2.4.3.1	Moving Vehicle	9
2.4.3.2	Parked Vehicle	9
2.5	Use of Flashlight.....	9
2.6	Use of Alley Lights on Moving Vehicle	10
2.7	Approaching Parked Vehicle	12

2.7.1	Investigatory Purpose	12
2.7.1.1	Merely Approaching	12
2.7.1.2	Blocking.....	13
2.7.2	Community-Caretaking Purpose	13
2.8	Dog Sniff of Exterior of Motor Vehicle	14
2.8.1	Exterior Sniff Occurring During Routine Traffic Stop Undecided	14
2.8.2	Exterior Sniff Where There Is Reasonable Suspicion of Criminal Activity Not Search Under Article 14.....	15
2.8.3	Suspicion of Drug-Related Criminal Activity Not Prerequisite.....	16
3	LAWFUL JUSTIFICATIONS FOR A STOP	18
3.1	Civil Motor Vehicle Infraction.....	18
3.1.1	Type of Violation.....	18
3.1.1.1	Equipment Violation	18
3.1.1.2	Traffic Violation.....	18
3.1.2	Level of Police Belief Required	18
3.1.2.1	Dependent on Type of Violation	18
3.1.2.2	Good-Faith Belief but Officer’s Mistake of Law.....	22
3.1.3	Authorization Test Versus Pretext Test.....	23
3.1.3.1	Difference Explained; Pretextual-Stop Rule Rejected	23
3.1.3.2	Failure to Cite for Violation Not Fatal to Subsequent Police Action.....	24
3.1.4	Police Officer Defined.....	24
3.2	Community Caretaking	25
3.2.1	“Totally Divorced” Rule.....	25
3.2.1.1	Officer’s Belief of Possibility of Criminal Activity Does Not Change Justification.....	26
3.2.1.2	Concern for Motorist and Concern for Public’s Safety Justify Application of Doctrine.....	27
3.2.2	Noncoercive Approach and Inquiry.....	27

3.2.2.1	No Preliminary Showing of Need or Reasonableness Required	27
3.2.2.2	Activation of Police Lights Does Not Transform into Seizure.....	29
3.2.3	Applicability When Based on Anonymous Report (Moving Vehicle)	29
3.2.4	License and Registration Request Does Not Turn Check into a Seizure; Rationale	31
3.2.5	Exit Order Is Permissible	31
3.2.6	Explicit or Invariable Directive Not Required.....	31
3.2.7	Approaches Deemed Lawful.....	32
3.2.7.1	Massachusetts Case-Law Examples	32
3.2.7.2	Other Jurisdictions.....	32
3.2.8	Approaches Deemed Unlawful	36
3.2.8.1	Massachusetts Case-Law Examples	36
3.2.8.2	Other Jurisdictions.....	36
3.3	Emergency.....	38
3.3.1	Generally.....	38
3.3.2	Anonymous Report of Operating Under the Influence.....	39
3.4	Roadblock.....	41
3.4.1	OUI Detection	41
3.4.2	Homeland Security.....	41
3.4.2.1	Search Analogous to Screening/Administrative Search	41
3.4.2.2	Two-Prong Test for Legality.....	42
(a)	First Prong: Search Must Not Be to Gather Evidence for Criminal Prosecution.....	42
(b)	Second Prong: Search Must Be Limited in Its Intrusiveness ...	42
(b)(i)	Advance Notice a Factor; Minimizes Search’s Intrusiveness.....	43
(b)(ii)	Less Intrusive Alternatives to Search Not Required.....	45
3.4.3	Apprehension of a Fleeing Suspect.....	46
3.4.4	Roadblock Decisions Do Not Open the Door to Suspicionless Searches and Seizures in Other Contexts	49

- 3.5 Threshold Inquiry..... 50
 - 3.5.1 Based on an Officer’s Observation..... 50
 - 3.5.1.1 Operator Deemed Owner 50
 - 3.5.1.2 Propriety of Stop; Based on Reasonable-Police-Officer Test, Not Officer’s Subjective Belief 52
 - 3.5.1.3 The “Good Faith” Doctrine..... 53
 - (a) Reliance on the Warrant 53
 - (b) Reliance on Other Agency Records 53
 - (b)(i) Federal View 53
 - (b)(ii) Massachusetts View 54
 - 3.5.2 Based on an Informant’s Tip..... 55
 - 3.5.2.1 Anonymous Tipster Versus Citizen 55
 - 3.5.2.2 Reliability and Basis of Knowledge of Tipster 58
 - (a) Concerns Regarding Police 58
 - (a)(i) Fabrication 58
 - (a)(ii) Calculated Harassment 58
 - (a)(iii) Arbitrary Action and Abusive Practices..... 58
 - (b) Concerns Regarding Anonymous Telephone Tipster 59
 - (c) Basis of Knowledge; Beyond Casual Rumor or Suspect’s Reputation..... 61
 - (d) Reliability as to Criminal Activity 61

4 UNLAWFUL JUSTIFICATIONS FOR A STOP 62

- 4.1 Contraband Interdiction Roadblock 62
 - 4.1.1 Contraband Defined..... 62
 - 4.1.2 Reason 62
- 4.2 License and Registration Check..... 63

EXTRATERRITORIAL STOPS

5 IN STATE 65

- 5.1 Police Territory Not Always Determined by Imaginary Boundary Lines 65
- 5.2 Sources of Authority Recognized 67

5.2.1	Fresh Pursuit	67
5.2.1.1	Common Law: “Fresh Felony Pursuit”	67
5.2.1.2	Statutory Authority: Fresh Pursuit for Misdemeanor or Felony.....	67
(a)	Pursuit Does Not Require Activation of Lights or Siren	68
(b)	Pursuit Justified Only for Arrestable Offenses Occurring in Police Officer’s Jurisdiction	70
(b)(i)	Police Knowledge of Arrestable Offense Required.....	70
(b)(ii)	Collective-Knowledge Doctrine Meets Requirement ..	71
(b)(iii)	“Some Reason to Believe” Is Level of Knowledge Required	72
5.2.2	Aid Requested by Police.....	73
5.2.2.1	Four Situations in Which Aid May Be Requested Under G.L. c. 37, § 13	73
5.2.2.2	Application of the Statute.....	73
5.2.3	Specially Sworn Police Officer.....	74
5.2.3.1	Statutory Authority: G.L. c. 41, § 99	74
5.2.3.2	Purpose	74
5.2.3.3	Prerequisites to Invocation	75
5.2.4	Private Citizen.....	76
5.2.4.1	Common-Law Standard.....	76
5.2.4.2	Relaxed Standard Where Citizen Is a Police Officer.....	76
5.3	Sources of Authority Not Recognized	77
5.3.1	Terry Stop.....	77
5.3.2	Stop to Deliver Copy of a Civil Motor Vehicle Infraction	78
5.4	Applicability of the Fourth Amendment; Remedy for Violation....	79
6	OUT OF STATE.....	79
6.1	Common Law; Look to the State in Which Stop Occurred.....	79
6.2	Interstate Fresh-Pursuit Laws	80
6.2.1	Massachusetts	80
6.2.2	Connecticut	82
6.2.3	New Hampshire.....	83

6.2.4	New York.....	86
6.2.5	Rhode Island.....	88
6.2.6	Vermont	89

**THE STOP, APPROACH, THRESHOLD INQUIRY,
AND EXIT ORDERS**

7 TERRY STOP VERSUS ARREST..... 93

7.1	Degree-of-Intrusiveness Distinction	93
7.2	Blocking Vehicle	95
7.2.1	At Minimum a <i>Terry</i> Stop.....	95
7.2.2	Policy Issues Involved in Distinguishing <i>Terry</i> Stop from Arrest.....	95
7.3	Display of Weapons	96
7.3.1	No Bright-Line Rule; Reasonableness Inquiry	96
7.3.2	Safety Justification	97
7.3.3	The “Hobson’s Choice” Rationale.....	98
7.4	Considerations in Allowing Police to Adapt to Particular Circumstances	98

**8 APPROACH AND CONTACT; FURTIVE
MOVEMENTS..... 100**

8.1	What Is at Issue	100
8.1.1	Not Forcing Officer to Gamble with Personal Safety.....	100
8.1.2	Citizen’s Right to Make Movement.....	101
8.1.3	Avoiding Turning Any Movement into Furtive Movement....	101
8.2	General Meaning Ascribed to Furtive Movement.....	102
8.3	Typical Factors Considered in Furtive-Movement Analysis.....	103
8.3.1	Generally	103
8.3.2	Case Examples.....	104
8.4	Police Officer’s Testimony; Reasonable-Person Analysis	105
8.5	Furtive Movement as Reason for Search or Patfrisk.....	106
8.6	Routine Traffic Stop Completed; Prior Furtive Movement as Reason to Continue Detention	106

9	EXIT ORDERS	108
9.1	Routine Traffic Stop	108
9.1.1	Fourth Amendment: As a Matter of Course to Both Driver and Passenger.....	108
9.1.2	Article 14: Reasonable-Safety Justification	108
9.1.2.1	Article 14 Rationale.....	109
9.1.2.2	Safety-Belief Threshold.....	110
	(a) More Than a Hunch but Otherwise Minimal.....	110
	(b) Belief Measured by “Reasonable” Police Officer Standard	110
	(b)(i) Standard Applied in Reviewing “Reasonableness” of Belief.....	110
	(b)(ii) Testimony by Officer That Fear Existed Not Necessary	111
	(c) Examples of Reasonable Belief	112
9.1.3	Exit Order May Be Issued for Valid Investigatory Purpose....	113
9.1.4	Exit Order May Precede Threshold Inquiry	114
9.1.5	Exit Order Not Dependent on Immediate Threat	115
9.2	Community Caretaking	116
10	THRESHOLD INQUIRY	116
10.1	<i>Miranda</i> Warnings.....	116
10.2	Expanding Threshold Inquiry and Prolonging Detention.....	118

SEIZURE OF MOTOR VEHICLE

11	IMPOUNDMENT	121
11.1	Noninvestigatory Justifications: Public Safety and Fear of Theft, Vandalism, or False Claims	121
11.2	Community-Caretaking Justifications	121
11.2.1	Generally.....	121
11.2.2	Vehicle Disabled, Illegally Parked, or Impeding Traffic.....	122
11.2.3	Postarrest; Vehicle on Private Property	122
11.2.3.1	Arrest Unrelated to Property; “Imperiled” Vehicle Exception.....	122

- 11.2.3.2 Arrest Related to Premises 123
- 11.3 Motor Vehicle Parked in Private Lot..... 124
 - 11.3.1 Vehicle Parked at Time of Defendant’s Arrest in Vicinity 124
 - 11.3.2 Vehicle Parked at Location Unrelated to Where Arrest Occurred 126
 - 11.3.3 Vehicle Stopped at the Direction of Police 128
- 11.4 Practical Alternatives to Impoundment; Effect on Reasonableness of Inventory 129
- 11.5 Impoundment Not Dependent on Arrest 130
- 11.6 Impoundment Allows Officer to Perform Acts Without Implicating *Bishop* 131
 - 11.6.1 The “Minimally Necessary” Rule..... 131
 - 11.6.2 Exigency Theory as Basis to Enter Vehicle 132
- 12 CRIME CONNECTION 132**
- 13 FORFEITURE 133**
 - 13.1 Statutory Authority 133
 - 13.2 Incident-to-Forfeiture Exception to Warrant Requirement 133

WARRANTLESS SEARCH AUTHORIZATION

- 14 THE AUTOMOBILE EXCEPTION 139**
 - 14.1 Warrantless Search of Vehicle Stopped in Transit or Seized or Searched in a Public Place 139
 - 14.1.1 Original Formulation: Probable Cause and Exigency 139
 - 14.1.2 Current Formulation: Probable Cause Alone..... 140
 - 14.1.2.1 Fourth Amendment 140
 - 14.1.2.2 Article 14 140
 - (a) Moving Vehicle to Secure Location Does Not Alter Current Formulation..... 141
 - (b) Timing of Search Once Vehicle Is Removed Is Critical..... 142
 - 14.2 Warrantless Search of Vehicle Parked on Private Property and Seizure of Contraband Found Within 142

15	CONSENT	145
15.1	General Principles	145
15.2	Miscellaneous Issues	147
15.2.1	Consent Must Be Voluntary; “Knowing and Intelligent” Waiver Not Required	147
15.2.2	Consent May Be Found Even if Arrest Occurred Prior to Consent	147
15.2.3	Consent Not Dependent on Advisement of Right to Refuse	147
15.2.4	Consent Not Dependent on Informing Person of Right to Demand Warrant	148
15.2.5	Consent Obtained Through Exploitation of Prior Illegality; Test	148
15.2.6	Limitations on Consent; Reasonable-Person Test	149
16	INTERIOR PROTECTIVE SEARCH	150
16.1	<i>Terry</i> -Stop Rationale.....	150
16.2	Two-Part Test: Permissible Initiation and Scope.....	150
16.3	Actual Knowledge of Presence of Weapon; Reasonable Concern for Safety.....	151
16.4	Search of Interior While Suspect Outside Automobile.....	152
17	SEARCH INCIDENT TO ARREST	153
17.1	Difference Between Search Incident to Arrest and <i>Terry</i> -Type Search.....	153
17.2	Probable Cause to Search Versus Arrest; Distinction and Importance	153
17.3	General Laws c. 276, § 1	155
17.3.1	Purpose of Statute	155
17.3.2	History Behind Exclusionary Amendment to Statute	156
17.3.3	Fourth Amendment and Article 14 Limitations	156
17.3.4	Policy Concerns	157
17.3.4.1	Pretextual Searches.....	157
17.3.4.2	Identity Searches; Limiting the Breadth of G.L. c. 276, § 1	157

17.3.5	Search Preceding Formal Arrest; Effect	158
17.3.6	Contemporaneous Requirement	159
17.3.7	Search Violating G.L. c. 276, § 1 but Justified on Other Grounds	159
17.3.8	Grounds Stated Do Not Support Search but Other Grounds Do; Rule.....	159
17.3.9	Search of Vehicle Before Search of Arrestee; Effect.....	160
17.4	Search Area	160
17.4.1	Generally Limited to Area Within Person’s Immediate Control.....	160
17.4.1.1	Meaning of “Within Immediate Control”	161
17.4.1.2	The “Lunge Theory” Definition.....	161
17.4.2	Analyzing the Area Under the Arrestee’s Control.....	161
17.4.2.1	Search Area Dependent on the Circumstances.....	161
17.4.2.2	Factors Considered in Analysis.....	163
17.4.3	Case Examples.....	164
17.4.3.1	Defendant Standing Outside Vehicle	164
17.4.3.2	Defendant Seated in Police Cruiser	164
17.4.3.3	Defendant Removed from Area of Vehicle	164
17.5	Container Carried by Arrestee.....	165
17.5.1	Fourth Amendment.....	165
17.5.2	Article 14.....	166
18	INVENTORY SEARCH.....	167
18.1	Noninvestigatory Prerequisite.....	167
18.2	Lawfulness of Search Based on Two Inquiries	167
18.3	Three Interests Protected.....	168
18.4	Standardized Written Procedures Required Under Article 14.....	168
18.4.1	Reason Written Policy Required	169
18.4.2	Proof of Policy.....	170
18.4.2.1	Oral Testimony May Be Basis	170
18.4.2.2	Introduction of Policy Avoids Potential Hearsay or Best Evidence Problem.....	170

18.4.3	Breadth of Policy	171
18.4.3.1	Locked Trunk	171
18.4.3.2	Unlocked Closed Containers	172
18.4.3.3	Closed Containers Within Closed Containers	172
18.4.3.4	Containers Containing Valuables.....	173
18.4.4	De Minimis Violations of Policy.....	174
18.5	Limited Search Constitutes Inventory Search	174
18.6	Inventory Search and Impoundment Require Different Analysis.....	175
18.7	Police Officer’s Subjective Belief	176
19	PLAIN-VIEW DOCTRINE	176
19.1	Constitutional Overview.....	176
19.2	Three-Part Test	176
19.3	Issues Surrounding the Test.....	178
19.3.1	Being Lawfully in Position	178
19.3.2	Observation of Objects Capable of Both Lawful and Unlawful Use	179
19.3.2.1	Threshold Inquiry Sometimes Permissible.....	179
19.3.2.2	Constitutional Concern Raised	179
19.4	Observation of Item Versus Seizure of Item.....	181
 <i>OUI DETECTION</i>		
20	ROADBLOCKS.....	183
20.1	Written Guidelines Required to Establish the Reasonableness of the Action	183
20.1.1	“Reasonableness” Requirement of the Fourth Amendment and Article 14.....	184
20.1.2	<i>McGeoghegan</i> Guidelines.....	186
20.1.3	Site-Selection Requirement.....	186
20.1.4	Compliance with Guidelines.....	187
20.1.4.1	Constitutionality Measured by Compliance with Guidelines.....	187

20.1.4.2	Strict (Not Substantial) Compliance Required.....	188
20.2	Commonwealth Need Not Prove That No Less Intrusive Measures Are Available.....	189
21	FIELD SOBRIETY TESTING	191
21.1	Fourth Amendment and Article 14 Implicated.....	191
21.2	Article 12’s Self-Incrimination Provision Not Implicated	191
21.3	<i>Miranda</i> Warnings Not Required.....	191
21.4	Performance Request Must Be Based on Reasonable- Suspicion Standard.....	192
21.4.1	Combined Observations Rise to Required Standard	193
21.4.2	Suppression Is Remedy Where Suspicion Is Lacking	193
21.5	Operator’s Right of Refusal Not Recognized, but Compulsion Prohibited	193

***STANDING TO CHALLENGE SEARCH
OF THIRD-PARTY VEHICLE***

22	AUTOMATIC	195
22.1	The Massachusetts Rule.....	195
22.1.1	Actual or Constructive Possession	195
22.1.2	Connection to Defendant’s Criminal Conduct.....	195
22.1.3	U.S. Supreme Court Rejects Rule	196
22.2	Rationale	196
22.3	Denial of Ownership of Item.....	196
22.4	Unlawful Interrogation Application	197
23	TARGET.....	197
23.1	Theory Not Adopted but Not Rejected	197
23.2	Reason Behind Reluctance to Adopt Theory	198
24	DERIVATIVE.....	201
25	EXPECTATION OF PRIVACY	201

PASSENGER ISSUES

26 THRESHOLD INQUIRIES..... 203
27 EXIT ORDERS 203
28 SEARCHES AND PATFRISKS..... 204
 28.1 Odor of Marijuana 204
 28.2 Automatic Companion Rule 205

STANDARD OF APPELLATE REVIEW

**29 FACTS FOUND BY JUDGE ACCEPTED ABSENT
CLEAR ERROR 207**
**30 COURT INDEPENDENTLY REVIEWS APPLICATION
OF CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES 207**
31 COURT MAY ADOPT DIFFERENT THEORY 208
**32 COURT MAY CONSIDER ISSUES NOT
RAISED BELOW 208**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... 209

INDEX..... 233